Box 1.1 Unrestricted Growth: Two Different Approaches When the Rate of Growth Is Constant

Discrete Time Using a Difference Equation (Geometric Growth)

The starting expression is

$$N_{t+1} = RN_t + N_t,$$

where R is the net discrete (or geometric) per capita rate of growth. Collecting terms gives

$$N_{t+1} = (R+1) N_t,$$
 (1.1a)

which we may also write as

$$N_{t+1} = \lambda N_t$$

where $\lambda = (R + 1)$ is the discrete (or geometric) per capita rate of growth; its units are per time period.

Similarly, for two time steps,

$$N_{t+2} = \lambda N_{t+1}$$
$$= \lambda \lambda N_t$$
$$= \lambda^2 N_t$$

and, for any arbitrary number of time steps into the future (say, T time steps),

$$N_{t+T} = \lambda^T N_t. \tag{1.2a}$$

If we start with N_0 individuals at time t = 0, then at time T the number of individuals is

$$N_T = N_0 \lambda^T. \tag{1.3a}$$

Equation (1.3a) is the "solution" for discrete time because it is a formula giving N for any arbitrary time period into the future.

Continuous Time Using a Differential Equation (Exponential Growth)

The starting expression is

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = rN(t). \tag{1.1b}$$

Initial conditions specify the beginning time (t = 0) and initial population size

$$N \text{ (at } t = 0) = N(0).$$

In Eq. (1.1b), r is the intrinsic (or exponential) per capita rate of growth, its units are per time period.

To solve Eq. (1.1b) with its initial conditions, we separate the differentials and integrate both sides. Then we evaluate the integral from t = 0 to t = T:

$$\int_{N(0)}^{N(T)} \frac{dN(t)}{N} = r \int_{0}^{T} dt.$$
 (1.2b)

From the integral formulas of calculus, the left-hand side of Eq. (1.2b) becomes

$$\ln N(T) - \ln N(0)$$

and the right-hand side of Eq. (1.2b) becomes

$$rT - r0 = rT$$
.

After exponentiation of both sides,

$$\frac{N(T)}{N(0)} = e^{rT}.$$

Finally, rearranging yields

$$N(T) = N(0) e^{rT}$$
. (1.3b)

Equation (1.3b) is the "solution" for continuous time because it is a formula giving N(t) for any arbitrary time T into the future.

Comparing Eqs. (1.3a) and (1.3b), we see that $e^r = \lambda$.