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## Second midterm exam

## Part 1 (short answer worth $21 \%$ of grade)

1-1) Match the following terms used in behavioral ecology with the appropriate topics of behavioral study listed to the right (you may apply more than one topic to a term and some topics may not be used at all; 5 pts ).

## Terms

## Topics

| E | Semelparity |
| :---: | :---: |
| G | Marginal Value Theory |
| H | Hawk vs. Dove |
| D, E | Altricial |
| C | Selfish Herd |
| B, F | Lekking |
| C | Ideal Free Dispersion |
| G | Specialist vs Generalist Feeding |
| A | Intruder Pressure |
| G | Patch residency time |

a) Territoriality
b) "Active" grouping
c) "Passive" grouping
d) Parental Care
e) Life History Tactics
f) Mating Systems
g) Optimality
h) Game Theory

1-2) Give an unambiguous, real-life example of intrasexual selection (2 pts). $\qquad$
Many possible examples here.. the key to a correct answer was providing an example in which male-male competition was the obvious factor influencing variance in male reproductive success.

1-3) For a given distance between predator and prey, which is generally more conspicuous, a group hunted by sight or a group hunted by sound? (1 pt) $\qquad$ Sound

Justify your answer (2 pts) Sound is an intensity cue that scales linearly with group size, while sight scales more slowly.
1-4) Describe a mechanism of group formation that increases foraging efficiency by giving an example 2 pts).
Many possible answers here ... Examples of "active" grouping discussed in class include: decreased path overlap, cooperative hunting, positive prey response to consumer, information transfer, and risk minimization.
Examples of passive grouping in an Ideal Free manner would also be acceptable.
Is this an "active" or "passive" mechanism? (1 pt) Depends on example given
1-5) What is meant by the "meiotic" cost of sex? (2 pts) _Halving the genome during meiosis means that a female must produce twice as many offspring than an asexual organism in to make equivalent copies of genetic material.

1-6) (4 pts) For each of the four taxonomic groups listed below, describe which parent(s), if any, most often care(s) for offspring using the following four possibilities: Neither; male only; female only; biparental.
a) Fish $\qquad$ b) Birds $\qquad$
c) Reptiles $\qquad$ d) Mammals $\qquad$ female only

1-7) Define "Economic Defensibility" (2) _Territories are considered to be economically defensible when the costs of defending the territory are outweighed by the benefits of enhanced access to the defended resource.

## Second midterm exam

# Part 2 (Short answer) worth 13 pts each ( $45 \%$ of grade) 

(answer 3 of the 4 questions)

2-1) In a lek mating system the number of potentially reproductive males is quite large, but only a few males do most of the mating each breeding season. Assuming that traits that lead to mating success are heritable, describe the basic sources of intra-sexual and inter-sexual selection under these circumstances by providing clear examples. How might increases in population size (i.e, both more males and more females present in the population) influence the extent of these two sources of sexual selection? Explain.

In a lek mating system, male reproductive success will be a function of both female choice (intersexual) and competition with other males to establish a position on the lek (intra-sexual). Variance in male success indicates the strength of sexual selection. An increase in male density should also increase the level of intra-sexual selection, since gaining a position on the lek is a function of male/male competition. If females continue to choose just a few males, then an increase in female densities will also increase the level of intra-sexual selection, since a smaller proportion of males will be getting copulations with females as they all choose the same few males. If, in contrast, more females means a broader range of males are chosen, then intrasexual selection would decrease. There are many possible examples that would suggest this basic scenario.... (e.g.,from the text: Sage Grouse, Whitebearded Manakins, Ugandan Kob) good answers clearly identified the effect of increasing male density and female density on both male/male competition AND female choice.

2-2) List the assumptions and predictions of the Ideal Free model of distribution. How would these predictions change with a violation of one of the assumptions (you choose the assumption and describe how it is violated).

```
Assumptions: (1 pt each)
    Resources are patchy;
    Animals have "perfect knowledge" of their environment;
    Animals are free to move among resource patches;
    Animals are identical (no competitive advantages to certain individuals).
Predictions: (2 pts each)
    a) Proportional settlement onto patches, relative to patch quality
    b) Equal food intake by all group members
```

Many possible answers for the second part, for example, if the assumption of no competitive differences is violated, then we would expect dominants to monopolize the best patches, leading to fewer individuals on the best patches. These individuals would receive higher rates of food intake. (5 pts)

## Second midterm exam

Part 2 (continued)
2-3) On the axes below, draw both the expected cost curve and the expected benefit curve associated with a circular feeding territory defended along the perimeter by a terrestrial mammal. Clearly label which curve is which. Designate the size of territory expected for cost minimizerand for net benefit maximizer. Draw and clearly label the expected changes if the territory was defendect throughout the area, rather than just along the perimeter.


2-4) What is anisogamy and why is it relevant to the study of animal behavior?

Anisogamy represents differential investment into gametes, with females investing more, on a per gamete basis (this defines the sexes). If eggs are energetically expensive to produce and sperm are cheap, then female reproductive success is typically limited by access to resources that provide or conserve energy (the more resources she can accrue, the more or better babies she can produce). In contrast, male RS is limited by access to females, since only a small amount of energy is necessary to produce sufficient gametes to fertilize eggs. This basic difference between gametes creates conflicts of interest between the sexes and sets the stage for a multitude of social behaviors linked to the various mating tactics and parental care strategies employed by both males and females in the attempt to maximize individual reproductive success (against a backdrop of conflicted interest). There are many possible examples from the text and lectures of the various outcomes of this "war between the sexes" that could've been used to demonstrate the validity of this linkage .
$\qquad$

## Second midterm exam

Part 3 (Short essay answer) worth 20 pts each ( $40 \%$ of grade)
Answer two of the three questions
$3-1 A)$ Scavenging female crabs may encounter one another at a fish carcass. In the ensuing contest for this potentially rich food resource, a crab may either immediately attack its opponent by lunging with its claws or, alternatively, it may display threateningly at the other crab, but not attack. When both crabs display at each other, half the time they end up sharing the carcass equally, while the other half of the time, one simply leaves and the other gets the entire carcass to itself. A displaying crab always gives way to an attacking crab before a fight begins; however, if both crabs attack, there is a $75 \%$ chance that a crab will lose a claw in the ensuing struggle, irrespective of who eventually wins. The winning crab gains exclusive access to the carcass while the loser gets nothing. Going into such a contest, crabs have an equal probability of winning.

Based on these probabilities and presuming that access to the entire fish carcass provides a crab with, on average, enough energy to produce 800 eggs, while the energy needed to regenerate a new claw will reduce egg production by 600 eggs, describe what behavioral strategies are expected to evolve in terms of displaying and attacking. If a polymorphic strategy is predicted, calculate the expected frequency of attacking. How would your answer change if the risk of losing a claw during a fight drops to $20 \%$ ? Show all your work.

This is a classic $2 \times 2$ symmetrical game theory problem with the following values:

| Fight | Fight | Display |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P_{11}$ | $P_{12}$ | -50 | 800 |
| Display | $P_{21}$ | P22 | 0 | 400 |



Payoffs (expected total number of eggs produced):
$P_{11}($ both fight $)=($ prob of winning $) x($ payoff of winning - cost of fighting $)+$ (prob of losing) $x$ (payoff of losing - cost of fighting)
Where: payoff to winner $=800$; prob of winning $=0.5$; payoff to loser $=0$; prob of losing $=0.5$
Cost of fighting $=0.75 x-600=-450$ (reduction in egg production)
So $P_{11}=0.5(800-450)+0.5(0-450)=175-225=-50$
$P_{12}($ one fights, opponent displays $)=$ prob of winning $x$ ben of winning $=1 \times 800=800$ $P_{21}($ one displays, opponent fights) $=$ prob of winning $x$ ben of winning $=0 x 800=0$ $P_{22}($ both display $)=($ prob of sharing $x$ ben of sharing $)+$ prob of getting all $x$ ben of getting

| 280 | 800 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 400 | all $)+$ prob of leaving $x$ ben of leaving $)=.5(400)+.25(800)+.25(0)=200+200=400$

Plugging these payoffs into the matrix we find a mixed stable strategy for fighting and displaying. The frequency of fighting can be calculated from the equation:

$$
f=\left(P_{12}-P_{22}\right) /\left(\left(P_{12}-P_{22}\right)+\left(P_{21}-P_{11}\right)\right)
$$

For the payoffs given, $f=(800-400) /((800-400)+(0-(-50))=400 / 450=0.889$ or $8 / 9$.
If the risk of losing a claw drops to $20 \%$ then the cost of fighting is now $\mathbf{2 ( - 6 0 0 ) = - 1 2 0}$


Thus, $P_{11}$ becomes $.5(800-120)+.5(0-120)=340-60=280$ and a pure strategy for "fight" is predicted.

## Second midterm exam

## Part 3 (continued)

3-1B) Gulls feeding on fish in the waters surrounding their nesting colonies perform one of two types of foraging strategies. Some gulls ("foragers") catch their own fish, while others ("chasers") give up some of their own foraging to chase after other gulls. Chasers harass other birds until they drop their catch, which is then eaten by the chaser.

Suppose "foragers" can, on average, catch 400 fish per day if they are not chased. Chasers that find "foragers" will steal $75 \%$ of this catch, however, "chasers" will also lose 120 fish per day because of the reduced time they spend foraging for their own fish. If a "chaser" tries to chase another "chaser", a protracted chase ensues, since neither bird has a fish to lose. When this happens, chasers can expect to lose an additional 200 fish per day. If gulls are otherwise equal to one another and cannot tell whether another gull is a "forager" or a "chaser", predict how many gulls you would expect to see employing a chasing strategy in a colony of 1000 birds. Would your answer change if fish densities increased and the daily catch grew to 4000 fish but otherwise, expected losses did not change? Show all your work.

This is a classic $2 x 2$ symmetrical game theory problem:
Let $\quad F=$ Expected gain of foraging $\quad B=$ the gain from chasing
$C_{1}=$ loss from chasing a forager $\quad C_{2}=$ loss from chasing a chaser
Where $F=400, B=300, C_{1}=120, C_{2}=200$
Payoffs would be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{11}=F=400 \\
& P_{12}=F-B=400-300=100 \\
& P_{21}=F+B-C=400+300-120=580 \\
& P_{22}=F-C 1-C 2=400-120-200=80
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging these values into the Payoff matrix reveals a mixed, stable ESS
The frequency of playing a "forage" strategy at equilibrium can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\left(P_{12}-P_{22}\right) /\left(\left(P_{12}-P_{22}\right)+\left(P_{21}-P_{11}\right)\right) \\
& =(100-80) /((100-80)+(580-400))=20 / 200=0.1 \text { or } 1 / 10 .
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Forage | Chase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forage | F | $\boldsymbol{F - B}$ |
| Chase | $F+B-C_{1}$ | F+C ${ }_{1}-C_{2}$ |



The question asked about the predicted number of birds chasing. This is given my multiplying the frequency of chasing (1-f) by the size of the population (1000). This value $=(1-0.1) * 1000=0.9 * 100=900$ birds.

If fish densities increased forager success tenfold, to 4000, then the Payoff matrix would be:
This is based on the following calculations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{11}=F=4000 \\
& P_{12}=F-B=4000-3000=1000 \\
& P_{21}=F+B-C=4000+3000-120=6,880 \\
& P_{22}=F-C 1-C 2=4000-120-200=3,680
\end{aligned}
$$



Now a Pure ESS for "Chase" is predicted... all birds should chase as a strategy to gain food.

## Second midterm exam

## Part 3 (continued)

3-2A) A female songbird that lays a single clutch of 4 eggs each breeding season can establish her nest in one of two habitat types. In the first habitat, the insects she will use to feed her offspring are larger and more abundant, such that there is a higher probability of successfully feeding more chicks (see table). There is also, however, a higher risk of nest predation, with a $40 \%$ chance that the entire clutch will be wiped out. In the other habitat, insect density is lower, with a lower expected probability of successfully feeding the chicks (see table), but the likelihood of the clutch being eaten by a predator drops to $5 \%$. Based on this information, in which habitat should the female establish her nest if she is attempting to maximize chick survivorship each breeding season? Show all your work

|  | Probability of occurrence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of chicks successfully fed | Habitat I | Habitat II |
| 4 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| 3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

To answer this question, you must first calculate the expected clutch success, irrespective of predation on the clutch. This would be the sum of the products of each clutch size multiplied by its probability of occurrence.

For Habitat I: $4(0.6)+3(0.3)+2(0.1)+1(0)=2.4+0.9+0.2=3.5$
For Habitat II: $4(0.1)+3(0.3)+2(0.5)+1(0.1)=0.4+0.9+1.0+0.1=2.4$
Next these values must be multiplied by the probability of not being eaten by predator. If $p$ is the probability of predation then $(1-p)$ is the probability of no predation.

For Habitat I, $p=0.4$, and $1-p=0.6,3.5(0.6)=2.1$ This is the expected success in Habitat I.
For Habitat II, $p=0.05$, and $1-p=0.95,2.4(0.95)=2.28$ This is the expected success in Habitat II.
Thus, Habitat II would be a better choice.

## Second midterm exam

## Part 3 (continued)

3-2B) During the fall, field mice gather seeds and store them in various spots within their home range. They exploit these caches during the winter. Because seed caches vary in quality, mice receive different rates of food intake as a function of time within a given cache. Behavioral ecologists have identified three types of seed cache that generate three different cumulative rates of energetic return (in Kilojoules, see table). Assuming that: 1) seed caches are randomly distributed within the homerange, with travel time between caches averaging 4 minutes; and 2) that average rate of food intake, including travel time, is $1 \mathrm{Kj} / \mathrm{min}$; estimate the optimal time a mouse should spend in a each type of cache using the available data and the axes below. Show all your work and provide a complete explanation of any graphical approaches you might use.


To solve this problem, you must evaluate where, in each cache, the rate of gain drops below the average (1 Kj/min). That is the time to leave. You can do this graphically, if you draw carefully, by finding the intersection of each curve with a tangent line of slope $=1$. Even without a plot, you can see that Cache 3 never has a gain rate (slope) of greater than 1.0, so the mouse should never enter those caches. Cache 2 yields the highest gain, with a slope of 1.0 hitting the curve between minute 8 and minute 10 (i.e., the gain during that time is $13-15=2$ and the time interval is 2 minutes; $2 / 2-1.0)$. This is when those caches should be abandoned. They should stay in cache 1 for between 4 and 6 minutes using similar reasoning.

